

107304

Circulated to the Cabinet by direction of
The Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HIS BRITANNIC MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT

41

SCANDINAVIA AND BALTIC STATES.

March 27, 1940.
SECTION 1.

CONFIDENTIAL.

ARCHIVES

[N 3704/3704/30]

Copy No. 8

Viscount Halifax to Sir C. Dormer (Oslo).

(No. 157.)
Sir,

Foreign Office, March 27, 1940.

THE Norwegian Minister asked to see me to-day on his return from his short visit to Oslo. He told me that while there had not been many people in Oslo he had seen the Prime Minister and M. Koht besides other officials at the Ministry for Foreign Affairs. As a result of these conversations, although he had little to add on the subject of the various questions pending between our two Governments, he felt that he had a considerably better understanding of Norwegian opinion. M. Koht had not yet made up his mind as to whether or not to reply to His Majesty's Government's note of the 15th March on the *Altmark* case, but the Minister thought that he would probably send an answer. He had the feeling that M. Koht was equally hesitant on the majority of questions now at issue in Norwegian politics, such as Finland, and he had on the latter subject added nothing to his broadcast explanations of Norwegian policy. M. Colban said that he had had similar lengthy discussions with the Prime Minister, and these also had not led to any very definite results, although M. Koht had been good enough to express satisfaction with his work in London.

NB!

2. M. Colban then turned to more practical matters, and, saying that he was not returning to them on the instructions of his Government, referred to the two notes which he had left with Mr. Collier on the previous day (copies of which will be sent to you in due course), complaining of small infringements of Norwegian territory by aircraft and warships. He realised that these were due to inadvertence, but he begged me to impress on the responsible authorities the need for greater care by the individuals concerned. He had just been informed that morning of the torpedoing of a large Norwegian ship, the *Cometa*, and it was unfortunate that the Germans should have any handle with which to reply to Norwegian protests in such cases. British infringements were small in themselves, but they looked bad in the mass.

3. In reply I told the Minister that I had only just returned to London and had not seen the two notes to which he referred. While I was sure that it must have been valuable for him to have had an opportunity of learning his Government's point of view at first hand, I much hoped that he had been able to interpret our own feelings in London. I would enquire into the alleged violations of Norwegian territorial waters by British warships, although I was surprised to hear of them. I would not, however, disguise from him that, while I naturally must take note of the Norwegian point of view, certain facts must be recognised. On the one side, there were violations of Norwegian neutrality involving repeated and cruel losses of Norwegian life and property, and all these violations were undeniably part of a deliberate and shameless policy. On the other side, if there were any infringements, which I must not be taken to admit, they were of completely minor kind, they entailed no loss of life, and yet all the time the Royal Navy were obliged to watch vital supplies for Germany passing up and down the protected corridor inside Norwegian waters. I hoped, therefore, that the Minister would not fail to appreciate our point of view in this matter. The Minister said that he would try to do so, but some of the British infractions had been worse than others, and, indeed, in one instance a warning shot from a British destroyer had actually landed on Norwegian soil. Moreover, in another note which he had addressed to the Foreign Office that day, complaint was made of no less than fourteen separate violations of Norwegian territory by aircraft, which seemed almost too great a number to be entirely accidental.

4. M. Colban then turned to the question of exports of iron-ore from Narvik, regarding which he said that he had spoken to Mr. Collier the day before. Exports to Germany had fallen off, while those to Great Britain had been maintained, and, moreover, the war trade agreements with both Sweden and